

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,403	ANDRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ross N. Gushi	2833	

All Participants:

(1) Ross N. Gushi.

(2) Attorney Q. Hoellwarth.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Eichhon et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Hoellwarth agreed to amendment to claim 1 and cancelling of claim 21 to put application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)